REMARKS

The Applicant's undersigned attorney thanks the Examiner Janell A. Combs-Morillo for the telephone interview. During the interview the Applicant's attorney pointed out that the *Waldron* patent relates to an alloy that includes scandium and does not include silver. Therefore, the behavior of the claimed alloy cannot be predicted based on the *Waldron* patent. The Examiner indicated that she will consider this argument when it is presented in the response.

To obviate the objection to Claims 5-7 and 10, the applicant amended these claims to a Markush format.

Claims 1 and 15 have been amended to specify that the alloy is substantially free of scandium to obviate the rejections under § 102 and 103(a). The *Waldron* patent specifically states that scandium significantly alters the properties of the alloy:

The addition of scandium has been found to markedly alter the alloy chemistry and grain structure.

Waldron Patent, Column, 1, lines 58-60. A comparison of the results of the one-step and two-step aging of the Waldron Patent and this patent application shows that the alloy that containing scandium behaves differently from the alloys which do not include it. In the Waldron Patent, the elongation percentage was significantly increased by the two-step aging process. On the other hand, the elongation percentage in the present invention was significantly decreased by the two-step aging process. In view of the recognized difference in behavior of the scandium-containing aluminum alloys and those that do not include scandium, the results of the claimed treatments are not predictable. The Waldron Patent indicates that alloys containing scandium behave in a manner different from other Al-Cu alloys and since the comparison of the results of experiments in the present case and in the Waldron Patent confirm this difference. A two-step aging of a Al-Cu alloy

which does not contain Sc were not predictable from the Al-Cu-Sc alloy. Therefore, the claimed

invention is not anticipated and is non-obvious.

The support for the scandium-free alloy is found in the specification. See e.g., page 4, Il. 16-

22, page 5, Il. 12-15.

New Claims 22-27 were added to further protect Applicant's invention. Claims 22-23

specify that the alloy includes silver. Claims 24-25 specify that the allow is the AA2195 alloy.

Claims 26-27 specify the temperature ranges of Claims 14 and 21. There is no teaching for

combining the teachings of the Waldron patent that the teachings of the Pickens et al. Patent.

Therefore, these claims are believed to be patentable over the references applied by the Examiner.

Accordingly, the Examiner is requested to withdraw the objection and the rejection and

allow Claims 1-21, as amended, and new Claims 22-27.

The applicant believes that the application is now in condition for allowance; however, if

any issues remain to be resolved the Applicant requests that the Examiner contact the undersigned

attorney. A clean version and a marked-up version of the pending claims are attached hereto.

Date: February 28, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

S.L. Szczepanski Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 27,957

JENKENS & GILCHRIST

225 W. Washington, Suite 2600

Chicago, Illinois 60606-3418

(312) 425-3900